

# United States District Court

## WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

V.

**ANSEL WOOTEN**

### **ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**

Case Number: 1:14-CR-157

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. §3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.

#### **Part I - Findings of Fact**

- (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a (federal offense) (state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is
  - a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. §3156(a)(4).
  - an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
  - an offense for which the maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_
  - a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. §3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
- (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.
- (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction) (release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding (1).
- (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

#### **Alternate Findings (A)**

- (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
  - for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq
  - under 18 U.S.C. §924(c).
- (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

#### **Alternate Findings (B)**

- (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

Defendant is 24 years old. Despite good health, he has no significant work history. He has no significant contacts with this district.  
 Defendant consumes marijuana on a weekly basis.  
 Defendant has twice been convicted of felonies relating to carrying concealed weapons. He has three other adult convictions as well. (continued on attachment)

#### **Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention**

I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will assure the safety of the community based upon the unrebuted presumption. Apart from the presumption, however, the same evidence above carries the government's burden that defendant is unlikely to conform his behavior to any conditions of release (e.g., as demonstrated by his twice absconding while on probation) and will remain a danger to the community (e.g., as demonstrated by his proclivity for carrying and (continued on attachment)

#### **Part III - Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: October 21, 2014

/s/ Hugh W. Brenneman, Jr.

*Signature of Judicial Officer*

Hugh W. Brenneman, United States Magistrate Judge

*Name and Title of Judicial Officer*

\*Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. §955a).

United States v. **ANSEL WOOTEN**

1:14-CR-157

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL**

Page 2.

**Alternate Findings (B) - (continued)**

Defendant's probation has twice been violated for absconding.

Testimony at the detention hearing showed that three people who knew defendant personally had told police defendant always carried a firearm. One said that he was the "muscle," and defendant is in fact quite large. This person said that defendant would "shoot anybody." A confidential informant also told the police that defendant always carried a gun and he was fearful of the defendant.

On the defendant's own 2013 Facebook page, there is a picture of him with a gun tucked in his waistband, despite two prior felony convictions which would preclude him from possessing firearms. See Government's Exhibit 1.

**Part II - Written Statement of Reasons for Detention - (continued)**

displaying weapons despite being a convicted felon).